

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,905	09/26/2001	Todd A. Hagan	0275D-000435	9433
27572	7590 09/11/2002			
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			HARMON, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Ap	plicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/963,905		HAGAN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Unit			
		Christopher R Harmo					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 A	<u>ugust 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiremen	nt.				
· · ·	ion Papers						
·	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	<u></u>	by the Evenine	-			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•	_				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
,,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			,			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Not	ice of Informal Patent	0-413) Paper No(s) t Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/963,905

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-15 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged.
 The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no burden upon the examiner. This is not found persuasive because of their recognized divergent subject matter; see Paper No. 2.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

 This application contains claims 16-22 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 2. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schell et al. (US 5,738,177).

Schell et al. disclose a production assembly tool comprising a first structure 34 and a second structure 18, the first structure having a overmold portion 14 and structural portion 12. The overmold portion 14 is made from a resilient

Application/Control Number: 09/963,905

Art Unit: 3721

material/elastomer (neoprene rubber) and contacts the second structure 42 effectively dampening vibrations and stresses; see figures (1B and 19). Schell et al. disclose bumper member 76 for abuting the second structure 18 and limiting movement. Linking members 86 link the bumper 76 and overmold 14.

5. Alternatively, claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Milkovich et al. (US 6,100,114).

Milkovich et al. disclose an article with a first structure 48 and a second structure 42, the first structure having a overmold portion 48 and structural portion 18. The overmold portion 48 is made from a resilient material and contacts the second structure 42 effectively dampening vibrations and stresses.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Schell, the first structure/overmold portion 14 is made from a resilient material/elastomer (neoprene rubber) and contacts the second structure 42 effectively dampening vibrations and stresses between structure 12; see figures (1B and 19).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., decoupling sensor, membrane switch) are not recited in the rejected claim(s).

Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the

Art Unit: 3721

specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Regarding Millkovich, encapsulent material is considered an overmold portion.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Christopher R Harmon whose telephone number is
703-308-8643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from
8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 703-308-2187. The fax phone numbers

Application/Control Number: 09/963,905

Art Unit: 3721

Page 5

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ch September 6, 2002 Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700